

Appendix 6

MICSS Journal of Educational Research Ethical Concerns

Publication

The *MICSS Journal of Educational Research* is a double-blind peer-reviewed academic journal, and strictly abides by academic ethics in the publishing process. If a manuscript is found to violate relevant academic ethics after publication, the relevant article will be removed from the journal's webpage. In addition to double-blind peer-reviewed, this journal also strictly prohibits plagiarism and fraud. At the same time, the research process must also ensure that it will not cause harm to the research participants.

Authors

The manuscripts submitted to this journal must be original and have not been published in other journals. All referenced materials and diagrams must be clearly acknowledged. Authors need to ensure that the vocabulary and sentences of the manuscript are accurate. If the relevant research is sponsored by any organization, it must be clearly listed in the acknowledgement section of the manuscript. In addition, if the publication of the article requires the approval of the data provider, the author is requested to communicate with the relevant organization before submission. This journal is not responsible for the coordination between the author and the third party.

Editors

Editors must ensure that all double-blind peer-reviewed are fair. The editorial board must ensure that there is no conflict of interest between the author, reviewers, and the editorial board. All review processes are confidential and mainly rely on the coordination of editor-in-chief.

Reviewers

All manuscripts should be reviewed regardless of race, gender, citizenship, religion, or political inclination. Reviewers must also ensure that all review processes are confidential. If it is found that the manuscript infringes the copyright or plagiarism of third parties during the review process, the editor-in-chief must be notified as soon as possible. Reviewers must review relevant manuscripts objectively and clearly by stated their comments on the review feedback form.

If the reviewer cannot complete the review work within the time limit, or exceeds the reviewer's understanding, the reviewer must notify the editor-in-chief as soon as possible, so as not to delay the review progress.